

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: C

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/895,950	07/17/97	WINTER		А	H0E-90/F-333	
-			\neg	EXAMINER		
		IM22/0914				
CONNOLLY AND HUTZ				TESKIN.F		
1220 MARKET STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO BOX 2207	,				911	
WILMINGTON	DE 19899			1713	24	
				DATE MAILED:		
					09/14/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

08/895,950

Applicant(s)

Winter, et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Fred Teskin

Art Unit 1713



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Jul 10, 2001* 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 19-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-15 and 19-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) ______ _____is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

- a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:
 - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17)	Ш	Information Disclosure	Statement(s)	(PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)
-----	---	------------------------	--------------	------------	-------------

20) ___ Other:

Art Unit 1713

- 1. The response received July 10, 2001 has been fully considered with the following effect:
- (i) the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection has been obviated by the amendment to claims 19;
- (ii) the rejection of claims 1-15, 25 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 251 has been obviated by the reinsertion of the language "for preparing essentially isotactic olefin polymers" into claims 1 and 7;
- (iii) the rejection of claims 19-24 under 35 U.S.C. 251 has been reconsidered and is withdrawn in view of applicants' argument that claim 19 was never presented in the original patent and is directed to the intermediate indenyl compounds which were not claimed in the original application and that, therefore, claims 19-24 are not recapturing subject matter given up;
- (iv) the rejection of claims 21-24 under 35 U.S.C. 251 has been reconsidered and is withdrawn in view of applicants' argument (1) that whereas original claim 8 was drawn to a catalyst system comprising the tetrahydroindenyl compound as claimed in original claim 1 and a cocatalyst, claims 21 and 22 are drawn to a catalyst system containing the specific indenyl compound as claimed in claim 19 and a cocatalyst; (2) that the indenyl and tetrahydroindenyl are different compounds and do not overlap and (3) that, therefore,

Application/Control No. 08/895,950

Art Unit 1713

claims 21-24 are not substantially identical to the original, nonelected claim 8; and

- (v) the rejection of claims 1-15 and 19-26 under 35 U.S.C. 251 is maintained as detailed below.
- 2. In view of the fact that additional errors in the original patent have been corrected through amendments to the claims made subsequent to the last oath/declaration filed in the application, a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.
- 3. Claims 1-15 and 19-26 are rejected as being based upon a defective declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Art Unit 1713

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2456.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Art Unit 1713

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FRED TESKIN PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 15503

FMTeskin/09-10-01